


MEMORANDUM
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON SCHOOL OF LAW

March 23, 1993

TO: Miceal Vaughan, Chair, Faculty Senate
Tom Andrews, Chair, Faculty Council on Faculty Affairs
Faculty Senate Executive Committee

FROM: Rob Aronson 

RE: **Proposed Revisions to Faculty Code Provisions**

Introduction

Thank you for providing me the opportunity to present my views concerning the proposed revisions to the Faculty Code adjudication procedures. As Chair of the Faculty Senate Subcommittee on Adjudication Procedures in 1990 and Co-Chair of the Faculty Code Procedures Task Force in 1991, I have strong views concerning the need for and the nature of changes in the existing Faculty Code Adjudication Procedures. I attended and provided input at initial meetings of the Faculty Council on Faculty Affairs. However, competing demands and my observation that the Council, with the help of Karen Boxx, was doing an excellent job of drafting the necessary revisions without my help, resulted in my taking no part in subsequent discussions and drafting.

It is my opinion that the Council has done an outstanding job of drafting revisions to the Adjudication Procedures that embody the November 7, 1991 recommendations of the Faculty Code Procedures Task Force. Those provisions that are in addition to the Task Force's recommendations are, without exception, improvements that effectuate valid policy determinations.

I have forwarded suggested language changes to Tom Andrews. For purposes of my very brief presentation to the Senate Executive Committee, I will set out in this memo my views concerning just a few issues. At the SEC meeting, I will only discuss several provisions and issues that I believe to be most subject to disagreement or debate.

UW Faculty Senate Records
Accession No. 99-102
Box 4

