

**UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
FACULTY SENATE  
FACULTY COUNCIL ON FACULTY AFFAIRS**

The Faculty Council on Faculty Affairs met on **Wednesday, April 6, 1994** in 142 Administration Building. Chair John Stewart presided.

**PRESENT:** Professors Stewart (Chair), Ammerlahn, Eberhardt, Ehreth, Leiren and Wilets; ex officio members Morishima and Olswang.

**ABSENT:** Professors Derouen,\* Dobel, Fitzpatrick;\* ex officio members Ferrill, McFeron,\* Moore, Oda,\* and Zagona.\*

\* Excused.

**Approval of March 10 minutes**

The minutes were approved as corrected.

**Report on Chapter 28 Revisions**

The Chair reported on the April 4 Senate Executive Committee discussion of the Chapter 28 revisions. He said that because of a procedural error made by the Senate leadership all Senators have received a letter of apology and clarification from Senate Chair Dear for his misleading communication about the opportunity to amend Chapter 28 on second reading. The Faculty Code does not permit amendments to Class A legislation on second reading. The only changes permitted on second read are those responding to the President's request or to the Code Committee. The Senate leadership decided also to respond to the Faculty Senate's request to review the standard of proof and super majority issues and to return to the Faculty Senate with proposed language. Under a very narrow reading of the Faculty Code even that would be inappropriate, but the Senate leadership interpreted the Senate's charge as a request to discuss the issues raised by the Junker amendment which was offered on the floor of the Senate during the first reading, and to propose a solution to the problems raised in that discussion. This was necessary because of Prof. Junker's absence from the Senate due to illness. Virtually all of the January 27, 1994 draft that went to the Faculty Senate is technically the approved text. The Chair noted that, because of the mixup there was now increased confusion about an already-confusing and complex piece of legislation, some perception by faculty members of unfairness, erosion of the Senate's credibility, and increased fuel to the campaign to those who want to vote the proposed adjudication procedures down. In addition, the new version of the Morishima amendment to section 28-33B which was voted out of the FCFA is not in the document.

With respect to the Morishima amendment, the Chair noted that the Code Committee commented on Section 28-33, leaving the possibility of some further amendment to it open. The January 27 text reads:

During the selection and appointment process for the Adjudication Panel, careful attention shall be given to the commitment of the university to affirmative action and to the necessity of diversity in the decision making body in order to arrive at fair decisions.

The Code Committee's text reads:

During the selection and appointment process for the Adjudication Panel, just as in all other matters, careful attention shall be given to the commitment of the University to affirmative action and to the necessity of diversity in the decision making body.

Following a discussion in which the Council compared the Code Committee's proposal with the Morishima amendment, it was agreed that the following amendment to the Code

UW Special Collections  
UW Presidents' Records  
Accession No. 05-006  
Box 4

